arXiv:1511.00742v1 [math.RA] 2 Nov 2015

Jordan algebras admitting derivations with invertible values. [1]

Ivan Kaygorodov[a,b], **Artem Lopatin**[c] **Yury Popov**[b,d]

[a] Universidade Federal do ABC, CMCC, Santo Andre, Brasil,
[b] Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia,
[c] Omsk Branch of Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Omsk, Russia,
[d] Novosibirsk State University, Russia.

ABSTRACT

The notion of derivation with invertible values as a derivation of ring with unity that only takes multiplicatively invertible or zero values appeared in a paper of Bergen, Herstein and Lanski, in which they determined the structure of associative rings that admit derivations with invertible values. Later, the results of this paper were generalized in many cases, for example, for generalized derivations, associative superalgebras, alternative algebras and many others. The present work is dedicated to description of all Jordan algebras admitting derivations with invertible values.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be an algebra with unit element 1 over field F. We denote by U the set of invertible elements of A. Further in this article we only consider *derivations with invertible values*, by which we understand such non-zero derivations d that for every $x \in A$ holds $d(x) \in U$ or $d(x) = 0$.

In 1983, Bergen, Herstein and Lanski initiated the study which purpose is to relate the structure of a ring to the special behavior of one of its derivations. Namely, in their article [1] they described associative rings admitting derivations with invertible values. They proved that such ring must be either a division ring, or the ring of 2×2 matrices over a division ring, or a factor of a polynomial ring over division ring of characteristic 2. They also characterized those division rings such that 2×2 matrix ring over them has an inner derivation with invertible values. Further, associative rings with derivations with invertible values (and also their generalizations) were discussed in variety of works (see, for instance, [2–4, 7, 8, 13]). So, in [8] semiprime associative rings with involution, allowing a derivation with invertible values on the set of symmetric elements, were given an examination. In the work [2] Bergen and Carini studied associative rings admitting a derivation with invertible values on some non–central Lie ideal. Also, in the papers [3] and [7] the structure of associative rings that admit α-derivations with invertible values and their natural generalizations — (σ, τ)-derivations with invertible values was described. In the paper [13] Komatsu and Nakajima described associative rings that allow generalized derivations with invertible values. The case of associative superalgebras with derivations with invertible values was studied in the paper of Demir, Albas, Argac, and Fosner [4]. The description of non-associative algebras addmiting derivations with invertible values began in paper of Kaygorodov and Popov [11], where it was proved that every alternative (non-associative) algebra addmiting derivation with invertible values is a Cayley–Dickson over their center or a factor-algebra of polynomial algebra $C[x]/(x^2)$ over a Cayley–Dickson division algebra.

Nowadays, a great interest is shown to the studying of nonassociative algebras and superalgebras with derivations. For example, in paper [16] the structure of differentiably simple Jordan algebras is determined, and papers [9, 10, 17] give the description of generalizations of derivations of Jordan algebras. Analogues

[1]The first author was supported by FAPESP, Proc. 2014/24519-8, all authors were supported by RFBR 15-31-21169.

of Moens' theorem, describing nilpotent finite-dimensional algebras as those having invertible Leibniz-derivations were proved in [12] for Jordan, Malcev and right alternative algebras . Nevertheless, the problem of specification of Jordan algebras admitting derivations with invertible values remains unconsidered. The present work is dedicated to the description of Jordan algebras admitting derivations with invertible values.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

In this article we only consider algebras over field F of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$. A commutative algebra J is called *Jordan* if it satisfies the *Jordan identity*: $(x^2, y, x) = 0$.

Let J be a Jordan algebra with unit element 1. An element $x \in J$ is called invertible if there exists $y \in J$ such that $xy = 1, x^2y = x$.

During our discussion we will encounter some certain types of Jordan algebras, so, in order to make our work self-contained, we provide their definitions:

I. **Algebra of type $A^{(+)}$.** Let $(A, \cdot)$ be an algebra. Then its underlying vector space equipped with new multiplication $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot b + b \cdot a)$ is again an algebra which we denote by $A^{(+)}$. If A is associative, then $A^{(+)}$ is a Jordan algebra. If a Jordan algebra J can be imbedded into $A^{(+)}$ for an associative algebra A, it is called *special*. It is known that in a special Jordan algebra $J \subseteq A^{(+)}$ an element is invertible with inverse y if and only if it is invertible in A algebra with inverse y. Nonspecial Jordan algebras are called *exceptional*.

II. **Algebra of type $H(A, *)$.** For an algebra A with involution $*$ the set $H(A, *)$ of $*$-hermitian elements (i.e., such elements x that $x^* = x$) is closed under Jordan product $\circ$, so it is a subalgebra of $A^{(+)}$. Again, if A is associative, $H(A, *)$ is a Jordan algebra.

III. **Algebra of symmetric bilinear vector form $J(V, f)$.** Let F be a field and V be a F-vector space with a symmetric bilinear form $f : V \otimes V \to F$. We can endow $F \oplus V$ with a structure of Jordan algebra by defining multiplication as follows:

$$(\alpha + v)(\beta + u) = \alpha\beta + f(v, u) + \alpha u + \beta v, \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in F, u, v \in V.$$

We denote this algebra by $J(V, f)$.

IV. **Algebras of Albert type.** Let F be a field, C be a Cayley-Dickson algebra over F, and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ be nonzero elements of F. We denote the main involution in C by $^-$ (For more information on Cayley-Dickson algebras, we refer the reader to [14, 20]). Consider C_3, the algebra of 3×3 matrices with entries in C. By $\bar{X}^T$ we denote the matrix obtained from $X \in C_3$ by applying the transpose and involution $^-$ to every coefficient of X, and by γ we denote the matrix $diag\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$. Then the mapping $*_\gamma : C \to C$ defined by $X^{*\gamma} = \gamma^{-1}\bar{X}^T\gamma$ is an involution of C_3. It is known that $H(C_3, *_\gamma)$ is an exceptional simple Jordan algebra. If $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 1$, and C is a split Cayley-Dickson algebra over F, then this algebra is called the Albert algebra over F.

A Jordan algebra J over field F is called an *algebra of Albert type*, if the scalar extension $J \otimes_\Omega \Omega$ is an Albert algebra over Ω, where Ω is the algebraic closure of F. It is well known that all algebras of Albert type are divided in two classes: the division algebras, and the algebras $H(C_3, *_\gamma)$.

An element $A \in J = H(C_3, *_\gamma)$ has the form (the following properties of the algebra $H(C_3, *_\gamma)$ can be found in [14])

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & c & \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_3\bar{b} \\ \gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1\bar{c} & \alpha_2 & a \\ b & \gamma_3^{-1}\gamma_1\bar{a} & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in F, a, b, c \in C$. One can see that this algebra is of degree 3, that is, it has 3 orthogonal idempotents $e_{ii}, i = 1, 2, 3$. Relative to these idempotents it has a Peirce decomposition

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^{3} J_{ii} + \sum_{i<j} J_{ij},$$

where $J_{ii} = Fe_{ii}$, and $J_{ij} = \{ae_{ij} + \gamma_i\gamma_j^{-1}ae_{ji}, a \in C\}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$
For an element $A \in J$ we can define

$$n(A) = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 - \alpha_1\gamma_3^{-1}\gamma_2 n(a) - \alpha_2\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_3 n(b) - \alpha_3\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1 n(c) + t((ca)b)$$

.

It is well know that A is invertible in J if and only if it $n(A) \neq 0$.

We will also need the following statement which describes simple Jordan algebras:

Zelmanov's Theorem [19]. Let J be a simple Jordan algebra over field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$. Then one of the following holds:

(1) J is an algebra $A^{(+)}$, where A is a simple associative algebra;
(2) J is an algebra $H(A, *)$, where A is a simple associative algebra;
(3) J is an algebra of non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $J(V, f)$ on a vector space V of dimension > 1 over field F;
(4) J is an algebra of Albert type.

3. Jordan algebras addmiting derivations with invertible values.

The purpose of this paragraph is to generalize the results of Bergen, Herstein and Lanski to the Jordan case. Further in this part, J is a Jordan algebra with unit element 1 and a derivation with invertible values d.

Now we shall study the ideal structure of J:

Lemma 1. *Let $I \lhd J$. Suppose that $I \not\subset \ker(d)$. Then:*

(a) *I is both minimal and maximal,*
(b) $I^3 = 0$.

Proof. (a). Let $I_1 \subseteq I \subseteq I_2$ be proper ideals in J, and $d(I) \neq 0$. Since I does not contain invertible elements, it is easy to see that $d(I) \cap I = 0$ and $I \oplus d(I)$ is also an ideal in J. Since $d(I) \neq 0$, $d(I)$ contains invertible elements, hence $I \oplus d(I) = J$. Particularly, for any $j \in J$ there exist $a, b \in I$ such that $d(j) = a + d(b)$. Hence $a = d(j - b) \in I \cap d(J) = 0$, therefore $J = I \oplus \ker(d)$ which implies $I \cap \ker(d) = 0$. For arbitrary $j \in I_2$ we have $j = m + d(n)$, $m, n \in I$. Consequently, $d(n) = j - m \in I_2 \cap d(I) = 0$; thus

$j = m \in I$, so I is maximal. Since $I \cap ker(d) = 0$, $I_1 \not\subset ker(d)$, therefore, repeating the argument above, we conclude that $I_1 = I$, so I is minimal.

(b). The cube of an ideal in Jordan algebra is also an ideal. Obviously, $I^3 \subseteq I$, and I^3 is also an ideal of J, so by (a) we only have two possibilities: $I^3 = I$ or $I^3 = 0$. If $I^3 = I$, then

$$d(I) = d(I^3) = d(I^2 I) \subseteq I^2 d(I) + (d(I)I)I \subseteq I \cap d(J) = 0,$$

which contradicts the initial condition $I \not\subset ker(d)$, so we are forced to conclude that $I^3 = 0$. □

Lemma 2. *$I \lhd J$ implies $d(I) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $I \lhd J$ and suppose that $d(I) \neq 0$. Then there exists $i \in I$ such that $d(i) \in U$. Since $I^3 = 0$,

$$0 = d^3(i^3) = d^3(i^2)i + 6d(i)^3 + 6(id^2(i))d(i) + 6(id(i))d^2(i) + i^2 d^3(i),$$

and we have $6d(i)^3 \in I$. Since the power of an invertible element in Jordan algebra is also invertible, $6d(i)^3 = 0$, therefore $6 = 0$. We have obtained a contradiction which proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3. *Let $I \lhd J$ and $I \subseteq \ker(d)$. Then $I^2 = 0$.*

Proof. For any $z \in I, y \notin ker(d)$ we have $0 = d(zy) = zd(y)$. For arbitrary $x \in I$ we have $xd(y) = x^2 d(y) = 0$. It follows that $(d(y), J, x^2) = 0$ (see [18]). Particulary, we have

$$0 = d(y)(d(y)^{-1}x^2) = (d(y)d(y)^{-1})x^2 = x^2.$$

Linearizing this, we obtain $2ab = 0$ for $a, b \in I$, so $I^2 = 0$. □

By M we denote the sum of all ideals contained in $ker(d)$. Lemma 2 implies that M is the largest ideal of J. We now prove that $\bar{J} = J/M$ is a simple Jordan algebra that admits a derivation with invertible values. Since $d(M) = 0$, the map $\bar{d} : \bar{J} \to \bar{J}$ given by $\bar{d}(j + M) = d(j) + M$ is correctly defined, and it is easy to see that $\bar{d}$ is a derivation with invertible values of $\bar{J}$. Now, if $\bar{I}$ is an ideal of $\bar{J}$ such that $\bar{I} \neq \bar{J}$, from lemma 2 it follows that $\bar{d}(\bar{I}) = 0$, then for the full inverse image I of $\bar{I}$ we have $d(I) \subseteq M$, and since $M \cap d(J) = 0$, $d(I) = 0$ and $I \subseteq M$, which is equivalent to $\bar{I} = 0$.

We now consider simple Jordan algebras admitting derivations with invertible values.

As Zelmanov's theorem suggests, we have to study four cases:

3.1. **The case $J = A^{(+)}$, A is a simple associative.** This case is the easiest one, because by [5] every derivation of $A^{(+)}$ is a derivation of A. Since any element which is invertible in $A^{(+)}$ is also invertible in A, then d is a derivation with invertible values of associative algebra A, so, by [1] A is either a division algebra D, or a D_2, the 2×2 matrix algebra over a division algebra D.

3.2. **The case $J = H(A, *)$, A is a simple associative.** This case is dealt with in

Lemma 4. *Let A be a simple associative algebra such that $H(A, *)$ has a derivation d with invertible values. Then A is isomorphic either to*

(1) *a division algebra D, or*
(2) *D_2, 2×2 matrix algebra over D, or*

(3) F_4, 4×4 *matrix algebra over field* F, $*$ *is the symplectic involution, or*
(4) *a central order in* F_4, $*$ *is an involution of symplectic kind.*

Proof. Every derivation d of $H(A,*)$ can be considered as a Jordan derivation $d : H(A,*) \to A$. By [15] either any Jordan derivation $d : H(A,*) \to A$ can be extended to derivation $d :< H(A,*) >\to A$, or A is a central order in F_4 and $*$ is an involution of symplectic kind. From Herstein's work [6] it follows that if $dim_{Z(A)}A > 4$, then $< H(A,*) >= A$. Wedderburn–Artin theorem implies that if $dim_{Z(A)}A \leq 4$, then A is either a division algebra over $Z(A)$ or $Z(A)_2$, which correspondingly matches the cases $1)$ and $2)$, so from now on we may assume that d can be extended to a derivation of A. As a derivation of A, d also has invertible values, so by [8] A is an algebra of type $1)$, $2)$ or $3)$. The lemma is now proved. □

3.3. **The case** $J = J(V,f)$**.** is examined in

Lemma 5. *An algebra* $J(V,f)$ *over field* F *admits a derivation with invertible values if and only if there exist* $x, y \in V$ *such that* $f(x,x) \neq 0,\ f(y,y) \neq 0,\ f(x,y) = 0$ *and* $-\frac{f(y,y)}{f(x,x)}$ *is not a square in* F*.*

Proof. Let d be any derivation of J. For $0 \neq v \in V$ we can write $d(v) = \beta + u$, where $\beta \in F$, $u \in V$. We have $0 = d(f(v,v)) = d(v^2) = 2vd(v) = 2\beta v + 2f(v,u)$, which yields $\beta = 0, f(v,d(v)) = 0$. Conversely, it is easy to check that for arbitrary endomorphism ϕ of V condition $f(v,\phi(v)) = 0$ for $v \in V$ (and its linearization $f(\phi(v),u) + f(v,\phi(u)) = 0$ for $v,u \in V$) imply that ϕ is a derivation of $J(V,f)$. By definition of invertibility in Jordan algebras it is easy to see that $u \in V$ is invertible in $J(V,f)$ if and only if $f(u,u) \neq 0$. Since $d(F) = 0$, the problem of describing derivations with invertible values is actually a problem of finding all $d \in End(V)$ such that

$$f(v,d(v)) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$f(d(v),d(v)) = 0 \Rightarrow d(v) = 0 \tag{2}$$

for any $v \in V$. Suppose that lemma condition does not hold in V. In this case we prove that $dim(d(J)) < 2$. Let x, y be two linearly independent vectors in $d(J)$. By our hypothesis, $f(x,x) \neq 0$, thus we may substitute $y \to y - \frac{f(x,y)}{f(x,x)}x$ and assume that $f(x,y) = 0$. Also, $f(y,y)$ remains nonzero. For $\alpha \in F$ we have $f(\alpha x + y, \alpha x + y) = \alpha^2 f(x,x) + f(y,y)$. But for $\alpha = \sqrt{-\frac{f(y,y)}{f(x,x)}} \in F$ this expression is equal to 0, hence $\alpha x + y$ is not invertible in $J(V,f)$, which contradicts (2), so we conclude that $d(J) = Fu$ for $u \in V$. Particularly, $d(u) = \delta u$, where $\delta \in F$. But from (1) it follows that $0 = f(u,d(u)) = \delta f(u,u)$, and since $f(u,u) \neq 0$, we have $\delta = 0$. Now, take $v \in V$ such that $d(v) = u$. Linearizing (1), we have $0 = d(f(v,u)) = f(d(v),u) + f(v,d(u)) = f(u,u)$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that $x, y \in V$ satisfy the lemma condition. By W we denote subspace spanned by x and y. Every element $v \in V$ can be considered as $v = v' + \frac{f(x,v)}{f(x,x)}x + \frac{f(y,v)}{f(y,y)}y$. Here we have that $f(v',W) = 0$. Say that $U = \{v' \in V : f(v',W) = 0\}$ and $f(x,x) \neq 0 \neq f(y,y)$. It is easy to see that $U \cap W = 0$ and $V = U \oplus W$.

Now we are able to explicitly construct a derivation with invertible values of $J(V,f)$: define d by $d(x) = y, d(y) = -\frac{f(y,y)}{f(x,x)}x$, $d(U) = 0$. It is easy to see that conditions (1) and (2) hold for d, so it is a derivation of

$J(V,f)$. Also, for $\beta,\gamma\neq 0$, we have

$$f(\beta x+\gamma y,\beta x+\gamma y)=\beta^2 f(x,x)+\gamma^2 f(y,y)\neq 0.$$

In the other case, $\sqrt{-\frac{f(x,x)}{f(y,y)}}\in F$. Now, d is a derivation with invertible values. □

Corollary 6. *Every simple Jordan algebra of symmetric bilinear vector form over perfect (or algebraically closed) field does not have a derivation with invertible values.*

3.4. **The case J is an algebra of Albert type.** As we have said, the algebras of Albert type are divided in two classes: the division algebras (which are not interesting to us, because all their derivations have invertible values), and the algebras $H(C_3,*_\gamma)$. We deal with the later ones in the following

Lemma 7. *An algebra $H(C_3,*_\gamma)$ does not have nonzero derivations with invertible values.*

Proof. Let d be a derivation with invertible values of J. Then $d(e_{11})=d(e_{11}^2)=2e_{11}d(e_{11})$, which means that $d(e_{11})\in J_{12}+J_{13}$, that is, has the form

$$d(e_{11})=\begin{pmatrix}0 & c & \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_3\bar{b}\\ \gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1\bar{c} & 0 & 0\\ b & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where $b,c\in C$.

It is easy to see that $n(d(e_{11}))=0$, therefore, that element is not invertible and is 0 by the definition of invertible derivation. Analogously, $d(e_{22})=d(e_{33})=0$. Now, let $A\in J_{12}$. Then, since $d(e_{11})=0$, we have $d(A)=2d(e_{11}A)=2e_{11}d(A)$. Analogously, $d(A)=2e_{22}d(A)$, therefore, $d(A)\in J_12$. One can see that $n(d(A))$ is again zero, therefore, $d(A)$ is zero. Analogously, one can prove that $d(J_{13})=d(J_{23})=0$. Hence, $d(J_{ij})=0$ for all Peirce components of J, and $d=0$. The lemma is now proved.

Theorem 8. *Let J be a Jordan algebra of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ with unit element 1, admitting derivation with invertible values d. Then one of the following holds:*

1. *J is an algebra $A^{(+)}$, where $A=D$ or D_2, D is an associative division algebra;*
2. *J is an algebra $H(A,*)$, where $A=D$ or D_2, D is an associative division algebra, or A is either F_4 or a central order in F_4, $*=Symp$;*
3. *J is an algebra of symmetric bilinear form $J(V,f)$;*
4. *J is a division algebra of Albert type;*
5. *J is an extension of cases (1) – (4) by $M=\mathbf{P}(J)$ – the prime radical of J, $M\subseteq\ker d$, M is the largest ideal of J.*

Proof. Follows immediately from lemmas (1) – (7).

Acknowledgements. The authors grateful to Prof. Ivan Shestakov (IME-USP, Brasil) and Prof. Alexandre Pozhidaev (Sobolev Institute of Math., Russia) for interest and constructive comments.

REFERENCES

[1] *Bergen J., Herstein I., Lanski C.*, Derivations with invertible values, Canad. J. Math., **35** (1983), 2, 300–310.

[2] *Bergen J., Carini L.*, Derivations with invertible values on a Lie ideal, Canad. Math. Bull., **31** (1988), 1, 103–110.

[3] *Chang J. C.*, α-derivations with invertible values, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **13** (1985), 4, 323–333.

[4] *Demir C., Albas E., Argac N., Fosner A.*, Superderivations with invertible values, J. Algebra Appl., **14** (2015), 2, 1550022, 11 pp.

[5] *Herstein I.*, Jordan derivations of prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1957), 6, 1104–1110.

[6] *Herstein I.*, Lie and Jordan systems in simple rings with involution, Amer. J. Math., **78** (1956), 629–649.

[7] *Hongan M., Komatsu H.*, (σ, τ)-derivations with invertible values, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **15** (1987), 4, 411–415.

[8] *Giambruno A., Misso P., Milies P. C.*, Derivations with invertible values in rings with involution, Pac. J. Math., **123** (1986), 1, 47–54.

[9] *Kaygorodov I.*, On δ-derivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras, Algebra and Logic, **46** (2007), 5, 318–329.

[10] *Kaygorodov I.*, δ-superderivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan and Lie superalgebras, Algebra and Logic, **49** (2010), 2, 130–144.

[11] *Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu.*, Alternative algebras admitting derivations with invertible values and invertible derivations, Izvestiya: Mathematics, **78** (2014), 5, 922–935.

[12] *Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu.*, A characterization of nilpotent nonassociative algebras by invertible Leibniz-derivations, arXiv:1506.00732

[13] *Komatsu H., Nakajima A.*, Generalized derivations with invertible values, Comm. Algebra, **32** (2004), 5, 1937–1944.

[14] *Jacobson N.*, Structure and Representation of Jordan algebras, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969. MR0251099 (40:4330)

[15] *Lagutina L.*, Jordan homomorphisms of associative algebras with involution, Algebra and Logic, **27** (1988), 4, 250–260.

[16] *Popov A.*, Differentiably simple Jordan algebras, Siberian Math. J., **54** (2013), 4, 713–721.

[17] *Shestakov A.*, Ternary derivations of separable associative and Jordan algebras, Siberian Math. J., **53** (2012), 5, 943–956.

[18] *Zelmanov E.*, Jordan algebras with finiteness conditions, Algebra and Logic, **17** (1978), 6, 693–704.

[19] *Zelmanov E.*, Prime Jordan algebras, II, Siberian Math. J., **24** (1983), 1, 73–85.

[20] *Zhevlakov K. A., Slinko A. M., Shestakov I. P., Shirshov A. I.*, Rings that are nearly associative, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 104, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1982.